

NOT FOR PUBLICATION
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUL 22 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**ESPERANZA CONTRERAS-
MANRIQUE,**

Petitioner,

v.

**MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,**

Respondent.

No. 04-74988

Agency No. A79-580-339

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 16, 2008**
San Francisco, California

Before: **KOZINSKI**, Chief Judge, **FARRIS**, Circuit Judge and **PANNER**,***
District Judge.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

*** The Honorable Owen Panner, United States District Judge for the District of Oregon, sitting by designation.

Petitioner doesn't qualify for asylum because a reasonable trier of fact would not have been compelled to find that she has suffered, or would suffer, harm on account of an actual or imputed political opinion. See Sangha v. INS, 103 F.3d 1482, 1486–87 (9th Cir. 1997). Petitioner also didn't demonstrate that it's more likely than not she would be persecuted if deported, so she isn't eligible for withholding of removal. See INS v. Cardoza-Fonseca, 480 U.S. 421, 430–31 (1987). And since torture is even more extreme than persecution, petitioner's Convention Against Torture claim fails as well. See Silaya v. Mukasey, 524 F.3d 1066, 1073 (9th Cir. 2008).

PETITION DENIED.